1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5		T OF WASHINGTON COMA	
6	CALVIN MALONE,		
7	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05284-RBL-DWC	
8	v.	ORDER DIRECTING SUBSTITUTION AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO	
9	MARK STRONG,	PROVIDE ADDRESS	
10	Defendant.		
11	The District Court referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action to United States Magistrate		
12	Judge David W. Christel. On September 7, 2016, the Court directed service of Plaintiffs'		
13 14	Complaint on the sole Defendant, Mark Strong, former CEO of the Special Commitment Center		
15	("SCC"). See Dkt. 38, 39. The Clerk's Office mailed the Complaint and waiver of service form		
16			
		ntiffs, who sought class certification. The undersigned	
17	recommended class certification be denied without prejudice and a new case be opened for each plaintiff. The Report and Recommendation was adopted by the District Judge assigned to this case. This case was severed into the following 24 pending cases (hereinafter "Related Cases"): <i>Mitchell v. Strong</i> , 3:16-cv-5530-RBL-DWC; <i>Fox v.</i>		
18	Strong, 3:16-cv-5532-RBL-DWC; Schoonover v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5533-RBL-DWC; Robinson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5534-RBL-DWC; Brooks v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5535-RBL-DWC; Brooks v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5535-RBL-DWC;		
19	Townsend v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5538-RBL-DWC; Geier v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5539-RBL-DWC; Jones v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5540-RBL-DWC; Hancock v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5541-RBL-DWC; Nelson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5542-RBL-DWC; Rafford v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5543-RBL-DWC; Cole v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5544-RBL-DWC; Mathis v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5545-RBL-DWC; Jaeger v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5546-RBL-DWC; Brennan v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5547-RBL-DWC;		
20			
21	Hopkins v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5548-RBL-DWC; Dumas v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5549-RBL-DWC; Pouncy v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5550-RBL-DWC; Jackson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5551-RBL-DWC; McCollum v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5552-RBL-DWC; Azeem v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5553-RBL; Coleman v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5555-RBL-DWC; and Turner v. Strong, 3:16-cv-		
22	5556-RBL-DWC.	-RBL, and <i>Cantley v. Strong</i> , 3:16-cv-5536-RBL, were	
23	111 was defined and the must pay the firming fee by October 3, 2010 of this case will be distinissed. In Jackson v.		
24	Strong, 3:16-cv-5551-RBL-DWC, Mr. Jackson paid the \$4 forma pauperis ("IFP").	400 filing fee. All other Related Cases are proceeding in	

to Defendant Strong. The mailing was returned to the Court as "return to sender unable to forward." See Dkt. 41. Defendant Strong is being sued in both his individual and official capacities. Therefore, the Court substitutes Bill Van Hook, the current CEO of SCC, for Mark Strong in his official capacity and orders Plaintiffs to provide an updated address for Defendant Strong so he may be served in his individual capacity. I. **Official Capacity** Plaintiffs named Mark Strong, in his official capacity, as a defendant in this action. See Dkt. 38. Defendant Strong resigned from his position as the CEO of the SCC. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d), 10 An action does not abate when a public officer who is a party in an official capacity dies, resigns, or otherwise ceases to hold office while the action is pending. The officer's successor is automatically substituted as a party. Later 12 proceedings should be in the substituted party's name, but any misnomer not affecting the parties' substantial rights must be disregarded. The court may order substitution at any time, but the absence of such an order does not affect the substitution. Bill Van Hook is the current CEO of the SCC. Therefore, the Clerk of Court is directed to 15

substitute Bill Van Hook, in his official capacity, for Mark Strong, in his official capacity, as Defendant in this action. Defendant Van Hook is named as a defendant in his official capacity only. Defendant Strong will remain in the case in his individual capacity.

II. **Individual Capacity**

2

3

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Plaintiffs also sue Defendant Strong in his individual capacity. Dkt. 38. While the Court has the duty to serve the summons and complaint, see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d), an IFP plaintiff still bears the burden of providing accurate and sufficient information to effect service. See Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415 (9th Cir. 1994); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. When an IFP plaintiff fails to provide the Court with accurate and sufficient information to effect service of the summons and

complaint, it is appropriate for the Court to sua sponte dismiss the unserved defendant. Walker, 2 14 F.3d at 1421-22 (quoting Puett v. Blanford, 912 F.2d 270, 275 (9th Cir. 1990), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995)). 3 4 Plaintiff Malone is directed to provide an updated address for Defendant Strong on behalf 5 of all Plaintiffs in the Related Cases. Upon receipt of the updated address, the Clerk's Office is 6 directed to file the updated address in all the Related Cases. If Plaintiffs are unable to provide the 7 Court with an updated address for Defendant Strong by October 21, 2016, the Court will 8 recommend dismissal of Defendant Strong from this action for failure to prosecute. 9 III. **Directions to the Clerk** 10 The Clerk is directed to: 11 1. Substitute Bill Van Hook, in his official capacity, for Mark Strong, in his official 12 capacity in this case and all Related Cases. Defendant Strong shall remain in the case in his individual capacity in this case and all Related Cases; 13 14 2. Upon receipt from Plaintiff Malone, file the updated address for Defendant Strong in 15 this case and all Related Cases; and 16 3. Enter this Order in the following Related Cases: 17 (1) Mitchell v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5530-RBL-DWC 18 (2) Fox v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5532-RBL-DWC 19 (3) Schoonover v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5533-RBL-DWC 20 (4) Robinson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5534-RBL-DWC 21 (5) Brooks v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5535-RBL-DWC 22 (6) Parsons v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5537-RBL-DWC 23 (7) Townsend v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5538-RBL-DWC 24

1	(8) Geier v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5539-RBL-DWC	
2	(9) Jones v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5540-RBL-DWC	
3	(10)	Hancock v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5541-RBL-DWC
4	(11)	Nelson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5542-RBL-DWC
5	(12)	Rafford v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5543-RBL-DWC
6	(13)	Cole v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5544-RBL-DWC
7	(14)	Mathis v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5545-RBL-DWC
8	(15)	Jaeger v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5546-RBL-DWC
9	(16)	Brennan v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5547-RBL-DWC
10	(17)	Hopkins v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5548-RBL-DWC
11	(18)	Dumas v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5549-RBL-DWC
12	(19)	Pouncy v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5550-RBL-DWC
13	(20)	Jackson v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5551-RBL-DWC
14	(21)	McCollum v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5552-RBL-DWC
15	(22)	Azeem v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5553-RBL
16	(23)	Coleman v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5555-RBL-DWC
17	(24)	Turner v. Strong, 3:16-cv-5556-RBL-DWC
18	Dated this 28th day of September, 2016.	
19		Month
20		David W. Christel
21		United States Magistrate Judge
22		
23		
24		